

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA  
SOUTHERN DIVISION  
No. 7:13-CR-42-D

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

WILLIE BARTON,

Defendant.

)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)  
)

**ORDER**

Willie Barton, appearing pro se, filed a motion for a copy of the transcripts in his case [D.E. 185]. Although a court reporter transcribed Barton's arraignment and sentencing, the court reporter did not prepare a transcript. No one ordered one.

"An indigent is not entitled to a transcript at government expense without a showing of need, merely to comb the record in the hope of discovering some flaw." United States v. Shoaf, 341 F.2d 832, 833-34 (4th Cir. 1964). Barton has failed to show a particularized need for the transcript. To the extent Barton's motion could be construed as a motion to obtain a transcript without charge, the motion [D.E. 185] is DENIED.

SO ORDERED. This 27 day of April 2015.

  
JAMES C. DEVER III  
Chief United States District Judge